



**Guidehouse**  
INSIGHTS

Research Report

**Executive Summary:**

**Guidehouse Insights Leaderboard:  
Utility-Scale Energy Storage Systems Integrators**

Assessment of Strategy and Execution for 13 Utility-Scale Energy Storage Systems Integrators

**NOTE:** This document is a free excerpt of a larger report. Click on the link above to purchase the full report.

Published 1Q 2021

Ricardo Rodriguez

Research Analyst

Pritil Gunjan

Senior Research Analyst

# Section 1

## Executive Summary

### 1.1 Market Introduction

The utility-scale energy storage (UES) market has grown increasingly competitive since 2018. With cumulative UES deployment revenue projected to exceed \$188 billion by 2029, the market represents a significant opportunity. Driven largely by the increasing use of solar and wind generation, interest is mounting in energy storage to maintain grid stability and increase efficiency by allowing nonessential fossil fuel power plants to close. In this environment, UES is considered a key component of new power system planning efforts in countries around the world, representing a major shift from 2018 when the technology was still largely considered too expensive or complex for integration into energy markets. As the market matures, the role of utility-scale energy storage systems integrators (UESSIs) has become the key position in the value chain for ensuring that projects are successfully built and that they become profitable.

Since 2018, UESSI companies have shifted their focus from turnkey project development (including systems integration) to a more pure-play systems integrator and operator role. Although many leaders still offer turnkey project development as it might be preferred by some customers, the overall market is trending toward specialized systems integrators being hired by project developers. This transition has occurred as systems integrators have become better at optimizing the value of energy storage across multiple revenue streams for different customers using sophisticated software and controls. The greater assurance of project success has enabled the introduction of pure-play project developers that have confidence in the guarantees that integrators can offer for a project's revenue streams and reliability.

Although several competing UES technologies with differing characteristics are matched for certain applications, battery energy storage systems (ESSs) are emerging as the leading technology globally for new projects. Thus, this *Leaderboard* is focused on battery technologies and the companies responsible for their integration. There are three additional criteria that companies in the market must meet to be included in this report. First, UESSI companies must have a pure-play focus on the UES systems market, referring to larger projects installed on the transmission and distribution (T&D) grid. Some UESSI companies have integrated utility-scale projects, yet their primary focus is on behind-the-meter (BTM) projects installed for commercial and industrial (C&I) customers. Second, UESSI companies included in this report have all been active with projects integrated since 2018. Finally, companies included all have UES projects in more than one country. Although many successful UESSI companies around the world focus only on their home country, this report is global in scope.

The criteria by which UESSI companies are compared in this *Guidehouse Insights Leaderboard* include the following:

- Vision
- Go-to-Market Strategy
- Partners
- Production Strategy
- Technology
- Geographic Reach
- Sales, Marketing, and Distribution
- Product Performance
- Product Quality and Reliability
- Product Portfolio
- Pricing
- Staying Power

Detailed descriptions of each criterion are provided in Section 8.2.3 of this report.


## 1.2 The Guidehouse Insights Leaderboard Grid

The global UESSI market has grown and matured considerably since the previous version of this report was published in 2018. With 4,604 energy storage projects counted as of 4Q 2020, per Guidehouse Insights' *Energy Storage Tracker 4Q20*, there are far more UES projects built and in development stages around the world. The company rankings in this report are more focused on what projects have been built and awarded to each company. This report also considers the diversity of those projects in terms of their use cases/applications, customer types, technical specifications, and geographic locations.

Chart 1-1 illustrates the rankings of leading UESSI companies included in this report. Companies have largely fallen into three distinct groups where overall scores on Strategy and Execution criteria are similar. Several companies have emerged as Leaders; these companies are actively pushing the boundaries of how energy storage is viewed by stakeholders in the industry and are working to open new markets. Other companies are well-positioned as Contenders to capitalize on new markets and project opportunities. This market remains highly competitive with companies offering similar products and services.

Despite the current segmentation, dramatic growth is expected in the global UES market. All companies included in this report are positioned to be successful as the market grows. However, some are likely to thrive as they build on a strong foundation and capitalize on complementary offerings, including hardware and renewable energy project development.

**Chart 1-1. The Guidehouse Insights Leaderboard Grid**



(Source: Guidehouse Insights)

# Section 6

## Table of Contents

- Section 1 ..... 1**
- Executive Summary ..... 1**
  - 1.1 Market Introduction ..... 1
  - 1.2 The Guidehouse Insights Leaderboard Grid..... 2
- Section 2 ..... 4**
- Market Overview..... 4**
  - 2.1 Introduction..... 4
  - 2.2 Market Trends ..... 6
- Section 3 ..... 9**
- The Guidehouse Insights Leaderboard ..... 9**
  - 3.1 The Guidehouse Insights Leaderboard Categories ..... 9
    - 3.1.1 Leaders..... 9
    - 3.1.2 Contenders ..... 9
    - 3.1.3 Challengers ..... 9
    - 3.1.4 Followers ..... 9
  - 3.2 The Guidehouse Insights Leaderboard Grid..... 10
- Section 4 ..... 12**
- Company Rankings..... 12**
  - 4.1 Leaders ..... 12
    - 4.1.1 Fluence..... 12
    - 4.1.2 Tesla..... 15
    - 4.1.3 RES ..... 17
    - 4.1.4 Powin Energy ..... 19
    - 4.1.5 Nidec ASI..... 21
    - 4.1.6 Con Edison Battery Storage..... 23

4.1.7	Wärtsilä Energy Storage & Optimisation.....	25
4.2	Contenders.....	27
4.2.1	NextEra Energy Resources.....	27
4.2.2	LG CNS .....	29
4.2.3	General Electric Energy Storage.....	31
4.2.4	Doosan GridTech .....	33
4.2.5	Aggreko .....	35
4.3	Challengers .....	37
4.3.1	ENGIE Storage.....	37
<b>Section 5</b>	.....	<b>39</b>
<b>Acronym and Abbreviation List</b>	.....	<b>39</b>
<b>Section 6</b>	.....	<b>41</b>
<b>Table of Contents</b>	.....	<b>41</b>
<b>Section 7</b>	.....	<b>43</b>
<b>Table of Charts and Figures</b>	.....	<b>43</b>
<b>Section 8</b>	.....	<b>44</b>
<b>Scope of Study and Methodology</b>	.....	<b>44</b>
8.1	Scope of Study .....	44
8.2	Sources and Methodology .....	44
8.2.1	Vendor Selection .....	45
8.2.2	Ratings Scale .....	46
8.2.2.1	Score Calculations.....	46
8.2.3	Criteria Definitions .....	46
8.2.3.1	Strategy .....	46
8.2.3.2	Execution .....	47

## Section 7

### Table of Charts and Figures

Chart 1-1.	The Guidehouse Insights Leaderboard Grid .....	3
Chart 2-1.	Annual Installed UES Power Capacity and Deployment Revenue by Region, World Markets: 2020-2029.....	7
Chart 3-1.	The Guidehouse Insights Leaderboard Grid .....	10
Chart 4-1.	Fluence Strategy and Execution Scores .....	14
Chart 4-2.	Tesla Strategy and Execution Scores .....	16
Chart 4-3.	RES Strategy and Execution Scores.....	18
Chart 4-4.	Powin Energy Strategy and Execution Scores.....	20
Chart 4-5.	Nidec ASI Strategy and Execution Scores .....	22
Chart 4-6.	Con Edison Battery Storage Strategy and Execution Scores .....	24
Chart 4-7.	Wärtsilä Energy Storage & Optimisation Strategy and Execution Scores .....	26
Chart 4-8.	NextEra Energy Resources Strategy and Execution Scores .....	28
Chart 4-9.	LG CNS Strategy and Execution Scores .....	30
Chart 4-10.	General Electric Energy Storage Strategy and Execution Scores .....	32
Chart 4-11.	Doosan GridTech Strategy and Execution Scores.....	34
Chart 4-12.	Aggreko Strategy and Execution Scores .....	36
Chart 4-13.	ENGIE Storage Strategy and Execution Scores .....	38
Figure 2-1.	Energy Storage Value Chain: Upstream Segment.....	4
Figure 2-2.	Energy Storage Value Chain: Downstream Segment.....	5
Table 3-1.	The Guidehouse Insights Leaderboard Overall Scores .....	11

## Section 8

### Scope of Study and Methodology

#### 8.1 Scope of Study

This report explores the Strategy and Execution associated with leaders in the global market for UESSIs. Companies included in this report are approaching the market with various backgrounds and offerings, ranging from IPPs and equipment OEMs to pure-play software vendors. However, all companies offer fully integrated and customizable ESSs to customers with some level of automation and control platform included. Unlike previous versions of this *Leaderboard*, this report only ranks firms active specifically in the UES systems market. This excludes companies focused on providing ESS solutions located BTM for commercial, industrial, and residential customers.

Note that company rankings capture the vendors' standing at the time of the report's writing and Guidehouse Insights' perspective on their potential for future success based on publicly stated strategy. The ratings may change rapidly as this market matures and business models continue to evolve. Moreover, the report is not exhaustive, as there are other global and smaller market players that were not included because of specific focus on one aspect of the market or lack of geographic reach.

#### 8.2 Sources and Methodology

Guidehouse Insights' industry analysts use a variety of research sources in preparing Research Reports. The key component of Guidehouse Insights' analysis is primary research gained from phone and in-person interviews with industry leaders including executives, engineers, and marketing professionals. Analysts are diligent in ensuring that they speak with representatives from every part of the value chain, including but not limited to technology companies, utilities and other service providers, industry associations, government agencies, and the investment community.

Additional analysis includes secondary research conducted by Guidehouse Insights' analysts and its staff of research assistants. Where applicable, all secondary research sources are appropriately cited within this report.

These primary and secondary research sources, combined with the analyst's industry expertise, are synthesized into the qualitative and quantitative analysis presented in Guidehouse Insights' reports. Great care is taken in making sure that all analysis is well-supported by facts, but where the facts are unknown and assumptions must be made, analysts document their assumptions and are prepared to explain their methodology, both within the body of a report and in direct conversations with clients.



Guidehouse Insights is a market research group whose goal is to present an objective, unbiased view of market opportunities within its coverage areas. Guidehouse Insights is not beholden to any special interests and is thus able to offer clear, actionable advice to help clients succeed in the industry, unfettered by technology hype, political agendas, or emotional factors that are inherent in cleantech markets.

### 8.2.1 Vendor Selection

Vendors were selected based on market presence, commercial activity, and unique expertise related to UES systems integration. Pure-play component integrators are not included because such firms do not contribute the integration expertise required to deliver an intelligent storage system to the customer. Companies purely offering project development services and not specifically involved in the design, installation, commissioning, and operation of a system are not included. Furthermore, companies that have integrated some projects at the utility-scale level (front-of-the-meter) but have a primary focus on the integration of distributed (BTM) energy storage have been excluded. This is intended to focus the analysis and comparisons on companies that focus on larger UES systems integration.

Another key differentiator for the set of companies included in this *Leaderboard* is ensuring project profitability—all of the companies profiled are charged with ensuring that a storage system functions properly on a technical level and with ensuring the profitability of the system for the customer. Therefore, the UESSI firms included in this report provide a software and controls platform that manages system operation to ensure profitability. Some firms were excluded due to imperfect information. This does not reflect a lack of market activity but rather reflects a deficit of information at the time of publication.

This report is intended to identify leaders in this market—only the more established and experienced companies are evaluated. There are several smaller firms with promising offerings that are newer to the market that have been excluded from this report. Companies included in this report have been active in the market since 2016 and have projects in more than one country.

## 8.2.2 Ratings Scale

Companies are rated relative to each other using the following point system. The ratings are a snapshot in time, showing the current state of the company. These scores are likely to be fluid as new competitors enter the market and customer requirements evolve.

- Very Strong 91 – 100
- Strong 76 – 90
- Strong Moderate 56 – 75
- Moderate 36 – 55
- Weak Moderate 21 – 35
- Weak 11 – 20
- Very Weak 1 – 10

### 8.2.2.1 Score Calculations

The scores for Strategy and Execution are weighted averages based on the subcategories. The overall score is calculated based on the root mean square of the Strategy and Execution scores.

## 8.2.3 Criteria Definitions

### 8.2.3.1 Strategy

- **Vision:** Measures the company's stated goals in designing market solutions against the actual needs of customers. Clear and compelling visions that are effectively communicated to the industry result in higher scores. This criterion considers if the company is forward-looking and building a business that can scale and has the agility to evolve with the market. It also evaluates any complementary business lines within the company that can support the growth of its energy storage integration business, such as renewable or conventional power plant development, electronics hardware manufacturing, etc.
- **Go-to-Market Strategy:** Evaluates the company's strategy for reaching the target market, including the sales and marketing channels used and the processes established for informing the target market about brand differentiation and unique product value. A key consideration is whether companies offer solutions for multiple customer types, applications, and ownership models.
- **Partners:** Measures the company's established partnerships with key organizations that will provide an advantage in financial backing, sales, business, and product development. Affiliations with well-known battery manufacturers and other established vendors in the supply chain, as well as a track record of financial strength through fundraising or profitable product sales, positively affect scores in this *Leaderboard*. This category also evaluates downstream partners for the company, such as project developers

and construction firms, or whether a company provides those services in house.

- **Production Strategy:** Evaluates the long-term competitiveness of the product/project development plan as an effective solution that satisfies market requirements and meets market capacity needs. The focus of production strategy scoring is on determining if companies can provide all aspects of systems integration and development or if they rely on third parties for certain services.
- **Technology:** Evaluates whether the company has developed or patented technology, or both, that provides a significant business advantage over competitors that is likely to have an enduring effect on its success. Higher scores are given if the company's technology is already a proven market success or delivers unique product attributes. For this report, the technology criterion primarily evaluates any software and controls systems being offered as well as technical integration expertise.
- **Geographic Reach:** An evaluation of companies' ability or plans to reach national and international customers through networks of partners/affiliates. Scores are lower if the company does not have a sales strategy suitable for multiple regions. While the UES market is currently concentrated in a relatively small number of companies, those with a broad corporate presence and global sales channels received higher scores.

#### 8.2.3.2 *Execution*

- **Sales, Marketing, and Distribution:** Evaluates the company's marketing and sales performance and current distribution channel. Higher scores are given to companies with a large global network with access and support for current products. This is measured based on deployed and announced projects for each company and the diversity of those projects in terms of customers, use cases, and designs, which demonstrate their success in this area.
- **Product Performance:** Evaluates the competitive performance of the storage offerings. Higher scores are given to companies that provide more competitive performance profiles or guarantees and warranties and that delivered products that are reliable. Publicly available data on performance, such as accuracy in responding to grid signals, is also considered.
- **Product Quality and Reliability:** Evaluates the quality and reliability of the storage offers delivered to customers, the company's strategy to develop quality products for the market, and its track record on quality with the current product line. Safety records and plans to manage risks are an important aspect of this criterion.
- **Product Portfolio:** Addresses the products' relative competitiveness in and suitability to the market. This includes whether a company offers various technologies and product offerings targeted to different market needs (e.g., high power/short-duration versus long-duration energy systems). Higher

scores are given to companies with a variety of products to meet different customer needs; this may include various storage technologies. This criterion also takes into consideration complementary products offered by companies such as power electronics, EPC services, power plant development, etc.

- **Pricing:** Determines the suitability of product pricing based on its feature set, including whether products are available at multiple price points and how pricing compares to that of competitor products. This includes options for system financing and partnerships with financial institutions that can support project development.
- **Staying Power:** Evaluates whether the company has the financial resources to withstand weak or variable markets and price-based assaults by competitors. Also measures the company's likelihood to continue to pursue storage products in the event of market softening. Higher scores are given to companies with better financial performance and greater capability to survive market downturns. For larger corporations, in addition to available capital, this criterion is judged by the prioritization that the energy storage division has been given within the corporate hierarchy.

Published 1Q 2021

©2021 Guidehouse Inc.  
1800 Tysons Blvd., 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
McLean, VA 22102 USA  
[www.guidehouseinsights.com](http://www.guidehouseinsights.com)

Guidehouse Inc. (Guidehouse) has provided the information in this publication for informational purposes only. The information has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable; however, Guidehouse does not make any express or implied warranty or representation concerning such information. Any market forecasts or predictions contained in the publication reflect Guidehouse's current expectations based on market data and trend analysis. Market predictions and expectations are inherently uncertain and actual results may differ materially from those contained in the publication. Guidehouse and its subsidiaries and affiliates hereby disclaim liability for any loss or damage caused by errors or omissions in this publication.

Any reference to a specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not constitute or imply an endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by Guidehouse.

This publication is intended for the sole and exclusive use of the original purchaser. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, distributed or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or otherwise, including use in any public or private offering, without the prior written permission of Guidehouse Inc., McLean, Virginia, USA.

Government data and other data obtained from public sources found in this report are not protected by copyright or intellectual property claims.

*Note: Editing of this report was closed on January 25, 2021.*



To order this report, please submit this form to [research-sales@guidehouse.com](mailto:research-sales@guidehouse.com) or contact your account manager.

## Guidehouse Insights Leaderboard: Utility-Scale Energy Storage Systems Integrators

- Basic License** \$3,950  
*(1-5 Users)*
  
- Enterprise License** \$5,925  
*(Unlimited Users)*

**Files Included:** PDF\* and Excel  
*\*Indicates primary report file.*

### Contact Information:

Company Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Company Contact: \_\_\_\_\_  
Phone Number: \_\_\_\_\_  
Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_

### Payment Information:

Type of Payment:                      Credit Card                       Invoice   
Amount to Charge:                      US\$ \_\_\_\_\_

Type of Card:                              Amex     Visa     MasterCard     Discover   
Name on the Card: \_\_\_\_\_  
Card Number: \_\_\_\_\_  
Expiration Date: \_\_\_\_\_                      Card Verification #: \_\_\_\_\_

Bill To Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Client Signature: \_\_\_\_\_